

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**To:** [Goeken, Richard](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Monument  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 15, 2017 10:20:15 AM  
**Attachments:** [NE Canyons and Seamounts paper \(Version 6\).docx](#)

---

Here it is. I added the note to NOAA on the fishing section, added one sentence on staff and costs, page 10, and deleted the "cite" notes from the BOEM material. On my way up.

On Tue, Aug 15, 2017 at 11:04 AM, Goeken, Richard <[richard.goeken@sol.doi.gov](mailto:richard.goeken@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:

(b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) AWP  
[REDACTED] Please clean up the memo and create a version 6 with your thoughts in mind for further direction to NOAA and then lets discuss ASAP.

On Tue, Aug 15, 2017 at 10:35 AM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

I had an unavoidable errand this morning. Downey is out until noon. Came by to talk on this, but you were out, and I don't want to delay further, so this email.

I do not see the point of providing cites for our own interpretations and conclusions in something we release. BOEM has the sole authority to lease oil, gas, minerals and renewable energy on the Outer Continental Shelf, their approved statements on those issues are accordingly authoritative, and all of the material relative to this is from them, approved by Kate MacGregor, acting Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals, and Downey for transmittal to NOAA.

Preparing specific protection questions might still miss something critical due to the complexity of laws and regulations for commercial fishing, as well as further delaying transmittal of the memo. If you are concerned they would hesitate to revise/comment on what we have, a concern I don't have, I suggest inserting a general "Note" at the start of the fishing section that this is our interpretation of what is on various NOAA web sites, but please review and revise as needed, and then send the memo, not questions, ASAP. This is a cooperative effort, and we don't need to provide them a fully polished product at this point, just a discussion or review draft. Further delay could erode that cooperation.

On Tue, Aug 15, 2017 at 9:35 AM, Goeken, Richard <[richard.goeken@sol.doi.gov](mailto:richard.goeken@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:

Hi Randy:

We need to get specific questions over to Commerce today re: Seamounts. Attached is the draft Memo.

As you will see, it still has many areas highlighted in yellow, including things for which we need answers, (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP, (b) (5) AWP  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Please draft up questions for which we need answers so that we can send them over to Commerce by COB today (or earlier).

Thanks,

Rick

--

Richard W. Goeken  
Deputy Solicitor, Parks and Wildlife  
Department of Interior - Solicitor's Office, MS 6341  
[Richard.Goeken@sol.doi.gov](mailto:Richard.Goeken@sol.doi.gov)  
office - 202-208-3142  
mobile - 202-507-1862

--

Richard W. Goeken  
Deputy Solicitor, Parks and Wildlife  
Department of Interior - Solicitor's Office, MS 6341  
[Richard.Goeken@sol.doi.gov](mailto:Richard.Goeken@sol.doi.gov)  
office - 202-208-3142  
mobile - 202-507-1862





























